

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,773	06/24/2002	Ralf-Uwe Bauer	4197-113	2300
23448	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW			PURVIS, SUE A	
	PO BOX 14329 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/088,773	BAUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sue A. Purvis	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In the amended claims, applicant defines a first, second, third & fourth shearing zone. The specification defines a "first shear zone" on page 7, third full paragraph and the example on page 8 discusses a first and second shear zone. Looking to the drawing, the shear zones occur in the double shaft apparatus (11). Applicant has not pointed out support for the additional shear zones and the examiner was unable to find any.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for having first and second shear zones, does not reasonably provide enablement for having a third and fourth shear zone. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The amended claims defines multiple shear zones which are not detailed in the specification in a manner which would enable an artisan to make and use the invention.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not

Application/Control Number: 10/088,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant added the term "means for shearing" to claim 1, where the means is within the "mixing tank." The examiner was unable to find a "means" set forth in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michels (DE 4437149 A1).

Michels discloses a method for forming cellulose shaped bodies where the cellulose suspension from cellulose and an aqueous phase in a ratio of 1:10 and the mixture being stirred 120 minutes while enzymes are added. The solution is then dewatered and at least partially recycled. Later NMMO is added to 90mol% (equals about 78wt%) and excess water is evaporated. (See the submitted translation.)

Michels does not disclose homogenizing in a first shearing zone the dewatered cellulose.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an additional step of shearing the cellulose before the addition of NMMO, because homogenizing a mixture prior to adding a component such as NMMO is known in the art. This allows the NMMO to be more effectively mixed.

Art Unit: 1734

Furthermore, reusing the aqueous phase of a dewatering step is within the purview of the artisan, because this results in a more efficient and less wasteful process as suggested by Michels.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 8. Regarding applicant's contention that Michels does not provide incentive for shearing the cellulose solution before the introduction of NMMO, the examiner points to claims 1 and 10 of the submitted translation. In particular, claim 10 states the "cellulose is whipped before enzymatic pretreatment with shearing in water." Thus, Michels does teach that this step is known in the art and this not based on "personal knowledge" as suggested by the applicant.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no

Application/Control Number: 10/088,773

Art Unit: 1734

event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734 Page 5

SP March 7, 2005